

## REMARKS

1. The claims have been amended to address the §112 rejections made by the Examiner.

2. Claims 1-10 stand rejected as anticipated by Li. Applicant traverses.

The last paragraph of claim 1 as amended describes one of the key features of the present invention. The restrictions of that paragraph relate to the changeable nature of the shape of the curtain of the present invention. As recited in claim 1, the angle of the guiding rails relative to the case are variable in the present invention. This allows the curtain to take the shape of whatever window it is being used in.

Li makes no disclosure of a mechanism that would enable a user to vary the angle of the guiding rails relative to the case of the curtain. The Li device discloses a fixed angle between the two elements that arguably might be considered the equivalents of the guiding rails and case of the present invention.

In order to anticipate or to render obvious claims, the prior art must disclose or indicate all the elements of the claims. In this instance, there is no reference in the cited prior art that teaches a variable angle of the guide rails relative to the case of the curtain as is claimed in the present claims. Accordingly, the prior art cannot be said to anticipate or to render obvious the present claims.

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant now asserts that all of the grounds for rejection have been traversed or overcome by amendment, and that all of the present claims are in condition for immediate allowance. Applicant therefore requests reconsideration of the objections and rejections, and solicits allowance of the present


claims at an early date.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 30, 2005

Registration No: 32,737  
Telephone: (408) 778-3440

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Keith Kline  
PRO-TECHTOR INTERNATIONAL  
20775 Norada Court  
Saratoga, CA 95070-3018